

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Madness in the Attic: Foregrounding Psychopathology in the Short Stories of Amanda Lee Koe

Dr.Nidhi Sharma, Associate Professor, Department of English, Swami Keshvanand Institute of Technology, Management & Gramothan, Jagatpura, Jaipur.

Abstract: Amanda Lee Koe's brief tales (2013) change the restricted capacity to bear the distraught resident subject, whose subjectivity is darkened, if not eradicated, by clinical solutions. Official and frequently state-authorized conceptualizations of the exceptional psyche are shockingly supported in conduct indications considered socially unsatisfactory. Koe's anecdotes about peculiar Singaporeans outline the way close to home encounters—of cognitive decline, gay inclinations, and enthusiastic self-articulations—are educated by, and thus illuminate, the bio-political guideline of Singaporean residents delivered objects of bio-power. Along these lines, her accounts welcome a reflection on the state, individuals and power. Foregrounding cracked and irregular characters, these accounts serve to strengthen individual voices verbalized in close to home stories tending to full of feeling encounters, incorporating trouble finishing in dejection. Besides, the narratives verify socially built standards inciting the renouncement and criminalization of sexual freaks. Altogether, they add to the "social mechanical assembly"—which C.W. Plants characterizes as "the wellspring of Human Variety—of styles of living and of ways of dieing"—

Keywords: Singapore; madness; mental illness; homosexuality; depression

Introduction: Writing gives a huge road to counter-accounts about subjects solidly battling against state prescriptive information and epistemology, as it explains socio-social elements highlighting "clashing human necessities, goals and qualities" (Szasz 1961, p. 117). In this light, sick lead and sick sensations of dissatisfaction scolded by the country through state devices (of which psychiatry as a clinical foundation does its offering)

confuse unequivocal thoughts of wellbeing as well as, the other way around, disease checking anomaly. Rather than wellbeing implied through standardizing conduct directed by the decision state, resident subjects' assortment, intricacy, and differentials of living comprise the enthusiastic social texture of the country. By denying the dubious mark of mystic sickness, writing along these lines assumes a significant part in opposing the objectivity of the ailing classification credited to the socially experiencing subject, in whom pathology goes about as defense for state remedial measures. Along this line, I contend for the depathologizing of the psyche, considering "sickness" fills in as an analogy for social, social, and moral powers forced regarding the matter under the domain of the examining state. To this end, Amanda Lee Koe's assortment of brief tales entitled Ministry of Moral Panic (Koe 2013) capacities as a responsive text to challenge the view that cutting edge living can be just diminished to microorganisms and synthetic irregular characteristics. Non-intelligent logical avocations dependent on hypothetical judgments of the brain's illness sell out political leanings and state plan that eventually deny the subject of any singular office, just as disregarding the psycho-social purposes behind the subject's "evil" manners. In this, the standardization of encounters, conditions, and sensations of individual subjects reviews the endeavors of a fragment of therapists working to devitalize psychological maladjustment and fix the discount utilization of sickness to show definitive illness. Notwithstanding, at its best, the counter psychiatry development (drove by specialists themselves, including David Cooper, Ronald Laing and Thomas Szasz) misses the mark regarding destroying the relationship between psychological maladjustment, with its complicated obsessive underpinnings, and normal human encounters like misery, dementia, homo-, bi-, and transgender inclinations, and other passionate diseases. Disappointment at such withdrawal and separation is

RESEARCH ARTICLE

credited to the determination of the psychological sickness term, which Moncrieff calls out as "pointless" (Moncrieff 2013) for presuppositions of interventionist clinical therapy, remembering institutionalism and medication treatment for perspective on a "infection focused" (Maisel 2016) way to deal with the distressed brain.

Now, it can be recommended that Koe's Ministry of Moral Panic draws in the clinical humanities by offering an investigation of the clinical pathologization of the psyche, with the mean to rethink the way of talking utilized against subjects displaying conduct manifestations that describe an evil brain. Notwithstanding normal organic factors, for example, maturing, social variables are likewise in play when managing reactions to encapsulated personality. Through intra-text based and relevant data given by these brief tales, the unconventional conduct and particular qualities of Singaporean subjects might be considered as far as the wide range of human instinct, and the state's scarcity in that department to see it as such prompting qualifications of their distinctive status. Koe's work represents Singaporeans haggling with state power and, explicitly, "biopower" (getting Michel Foucault's term). To the extent that biopower is the "blast of various and different strategies for accomplishing the oppressions of bodies and the control of populaces," (Foucault 1982, p. 140) then, at that point, philosophical state devices and social types of reconnaissance serve in harsh structures to direct the subject denounced for degenerate "sick" lead. To this end, Koe's abstract works add to conversations about the social and social conditions leading to clairvoyant conditions that request their subjects to be "govern[ed]".¹ If we take overseeing to involve "control[ing] the conceivable field of activity of others" (Foucault 1978), then, at that point, Koe's characters are shortened by philosophical state esteems, yet additionally exposed to more extensive socio-social inconveniences at the singular level. At the end of the day, the actual appearance of impossible to miss lead (considered as rebellious demonstrations) are in contradiction of public belief systems predicating the subject's "unfreedom" (Koe 2013, p. 157)— flagging the deficiency of human office acknowledged by the state. Through additional examination, Koe presents new bits of knowledge into the variegated characters of Singapore that consider independent decisions, instinctual drives, and regular life battles. According to this point of view, a specialist's part in conveying state biopower when, wittingly or accidentally, limiting the human part of the analyzed

mystic sickness adds to the subject's intimidation into or complete cancellation from the "official rendition of world reality" (Mills 1963) spread by the state. This eradication of character and non-organization vouches for the state's impediment of the "center centrality" (Cooper 1989) of humankind, by which the pseudo-clinical classification of the brain smothers human instinct by smothering any intuitive vocalization of self-personality at whatever its formative stage. Moreover, the accentuation Koe's accounts put on interactional prevailing difficulties that outcome in the subject's absence of opportunity in communicating a legitimate (differentiated to traditionalist) self and personality serves the task requiring "the adaptation of man in the public arena" (Arnold 1961, p. 483)— where struggle and misfortunes inside oneself and in communication with others are accounted.

Consequently, it can also be argued that Koe's short stories (2013) redress the limited tolerance for the mad citizen-subject, whose subjectivity is obscured, if not erased, by medical prescriptions. Official and often state-sanctioned conceptualizations of the peculiar mind are grievously justified in behavioral manifestations deemed socially unacceptable. Koe's stories about idiosyncratic Singaporeans illustrate the way personal experiences—of memory loss, homosexual tendencies, and emotional self-expressions—are informed by, and in turn inform, the biopolitical regulation of Singaporean citizens rendered objects of biopower. In this way, her stories invite a meditation on the state, people and power. Foregrounding fractured and unorthodox characters, these stories serve to intensify individual voices articulated in personal narratives addressing affective experiences, including sadness culminating in loneliness. Furthermore, the stories attest to socially constructed norms instigating the repudiation and criminalization of sexual deviants. Significantly, they add to the "cultural apparatus"—which

C.W. Mills defines as "the source of Human Variety—of styles of living and of ways to die" (1963)— by questioning the nation's ideological imperatives, including heterosexual norms, social insistence on monocultural marriages and state/family-endorsed medical intervention. Offering a critique of ideological state apparatus embedded within the power structures inherent to psychopathology, Koe's Ministry of Moral Panic challenges established ways of viewing "Others" who are ostensibly "mad". Consequently, her stories mediate a broadening human experience, by calling for inclusivity

RESEARCH ARTICLE

amid the social rejection and insular treatment of afflicted subjects with alleged disorders. A pivotal worry in Koe's presentation assortment of stories is the situation of the disappointed and undermined Singaporean, who is delivered just plain hopeless, disengaged and at chances with the bigger talk of public character. When gotten some information about the convoluted personalities of her anecdotal characters, the creator resorts to clarifying the terms of her own Singaporean character: "Experiencing childhood in Singapore it generally felt dire and important for me to characterize myself against the standard—which is molded by public personality—and while I am mindful that that is likewise the pillar of each angsty teen there at any point was, this has never showed signs of change for me" (Sikuska 2016). What becomes clear here is the basic point requiring the clearing of life directions that have been little planned as a result of their capricious and unlawful nature. Along this line, Singapore's "annoying cover of sober mindedness" (Koe 2016) highlights its male centric style of authority (Low 2006; Heng and Devan 1995), prompting a smothering caretaker state where the Singaporean government trains and mediates into individual matters of life. For Kenneth Tan, the public authority's "technocratic authority looks to dispense with 'silly' wants and the confusion of sensual impulses" (Tan 2003, p. 403). Likewise, for Eng-Beng Lim, the Singaporean dad state rebuffs the "fascinating sexuality [of] the worldwide Asian eccentric kid" (Lim 2014, p. 92). A huge launch of the definitive dad state lies in its determined hardline purpose in rejecting an annulment of the criminalization of sex between men in Section 377A of the Penal Code. "[O]ften advocated as being predictable with the significance society puts on family esteems" (Nair 2007), this part presently can't seem to be revoked as parliamentary discussions emphasize and highlight the risks of normalizing homosexuality, that purportedly sabotages "a steady society with customary, hetero family esteems" (Lee 2007). In that capacity, Oswin appropriately calls attention to the family's capacity as a "regulative overseeing fiction in the city-state" (Oswin 2014, p. 412). Indeed, even as the Singaporean government abstains from authorizing Section 377A by pronouncing that "[d]e facto, gays have a great deal of room in Singapore" and "gay bars and clubs [. . .] don't need to go underground" (Lee 2007), which mirror the profoundly irresolute feelings describing 377A (Wong 2016), the absence of a nullification stays a "sacred issue" (Woon 2014). In the mean time, at the grass-roots level, there have been extraordinary steps to acquire inclusivity for gay residents.

For example, the Pink Dot rally was begun in 2009 by Singapore's gay local area and gay motorcades are additionally authorized by the police. Moreover, gay resident subjects miss the mark concerning being arraigned, as Singapore's Law and Home Affairs Minister Shanmugan brings up: "In this way, truly, when was the last time somebody was indicted?" (Ong 2018), while Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong likewise clarifies that "The public authority doesn't go about as moral cops [a]nd we don't proactively implement Section 377A on them" (Lee 2007).

It is inside this juxtaposition of falling in line and challenging organized homophobia that Koe's work is found. Exploring the shared spaces of the college, school, bar, condo, laundromat, nearby stops and public milestones like the Singapore Flyer and Merlion, the alienated ladies and weakened men of Singapore's Chinese, Malay, Indian, and Others (CMIO) segment occupy the montage-like artistic spaces of Koe's gathered stories. In their elevated dejection and actual condition of being distant from everyone else, these characters fill in as a demonstration of the inborn battles while getting away from the standards credited by the state of affairs. Recorded as a hard copy about people promptly ordered as "weirdo[s]" (p. 73)² and projected out to the social peripheries of the country, Koe manages the rebellious investigation of Singapore's "Others". Indeed, even as the Singapore Arts Council is upheld by the state³, the creator advances the imaginative verbalization of the "[d]istraught] blow of life" (p. 168) in the interchange among public and individual character. The state's intercession and certain impact in the existences of Singaporean subjects are clear in the Ministry of Moral Panic, whose title implies the political production of illogical hysteria by means of philosophical state devices to reprove, rebuff, and manage resident subjects who fizzle at adjusting. Focal character themes to be examined incorporate sadness (causing and affecting depression) and gay relations considered improper. In every one of the accounts, an emphasized trouble and intense sensation of individualized contrast combined with actual forlornness influence gendered subjects experiencing socio-social, and different occasions racial, pressures while exploring the nearby and globalized spaces of Singapore. In Ministry of Moral Panic, clinical mediation by specialists to fix "sicknesses" of discouragement, self-injury, and psychosis are off track and demonstrate useless as characters stay irredeemable later therapy. This abandonment of restorative and psycho-

RESEARCH ARTICLE

helpful answers for moderate subjects' diseases highlights an aporia—by which, recuperating announces itself to be clearly false with practically no re-visitation of the guaranteed site or objective of healthy personality. On the off chance that the objective is to restore the subject to standardizing conduct considered socially satisfactory, then, at that point, the recuperating bombs wretchedly. A significant investment of time and energy to mend introduces itself as ludicrousness. As far as anyone can tell the peaceful caretaker, the decision class endeavors to hold the subject under detailed examination, in captured improvement, and exposed to hostile oversight. Recuperating, as a sort of converse pathology, demonstrates mixed up with "issues in living" (Szasz 1961) with no neurotic sources, consequently uncovering it as a disciplinary device for state philosophical mechanical assemblies in which to hold onto the subject. Subsequently, intrapsychic struggle results from state philosophy that advances social standards, which thusly uncover the uncertain and dubious edges of ordinariness. To this end, the social implications of psychological instabilities, or social explanations for particular articulations, can't be completely revealed by "therapists who have given almost no consideration to the experience of the patient according to the patient's perspective" (Laing 1964). Rather, the propensities for melancholy and cognitive decline, just as gay tendencies, are highlighted by coercive requests for conventionalism that build up the contention with, and inside, bodies named unique and freak.

Maybe the most hostile arrangement of dysfunctional behavior lies in the name of homosexuality, a conspicuous subject in personality legislative issues not least because of the mistreatment, disparagement and exclusionary rehearses looked by same-sex accomplices. Indeed, even as homosexuality is not generally recorded as a psychological maladjustment since its expulsion from the DSM in 1987 and ICD-10 arrangement in 1992, problems of anxieties and psychoses keep on being involved by this term. Likewise, bias against gay people is especially pervasive as their sexual direction and conduct are viewed as untrustworthy lead that digresses from the heteronormative norm. For viable reasons of deciding organic generation, huge segments of Asian culture have politicized these subjects for their disappointment first and foremost, to multiply and furthermore, for the irresistible spread of social infections, including the HIV plague that is followed back to them. There are the people who like to

stow away underneath the facade of a hetero marriage (at planned excess unconsummated), and this occurrence explains the frantic endeavor to squeeze into society. From its developing clarifications from a demonstration of transgression (appropriated from pre-edification Church), dysfunctional behavior that displaces the story of the heathen with casualty, to the present ICD-10 classification of "inner self dystonic sexual direction" involving the way the subject "wishes [his or her] sexual inclination w[as] distinctive in light of related mental and conduct problems" (Burton 2015b), homosexuality keeps on conveying relationship of the requirement for restorative measures. Predominant symptomatic marks joined to gays (Silverstein 1996), lesbians and transgenders signal the proceeded with pathologization of non-heteronormative sexuality. Negative perspectives towards homosexuality additionally highlight the subject's "unnatural" status, even as expanding campaigning of homosexuality as a natural rather than improper attribute was apparently developing among Singaporean politicians.⁴ Even as change treatment is obsolete and isn't drilled in Singapore, the issues that gays, lesbians and transgender subjects face in a country demonstrating itself as one major family—with the public authority assuming a fatherly part and its kin seen as little girls/kids (Heng and Devan 1995)—are obviously highlighted by the conventional meanings of marriage as a hetero foundation (Brooks and Wee 2011). Besides, Singapore's multiplication approaches, in spite of the fact that pointed for the most part at graduate Chinese ladies, additionally barred gay people who observed themselves to not be able to squeeze into the more extensive account of a country goal on multiplying youngsters to build the country's step by step falling rates of birth (Kuah 2018).

Directing concentration toward Koe's works, "Alarm" is a brief tale that manages the hostile demonstration of gay action between a developed man and a transgender. It centers around the developing agonies of Marl, first presented as a criticized in youngster school. The school's scenery fills in as an instructive focus and social contraption for philosophical state esteems to be proclaimed, disguised and upheld. The storyteller of the story winds up forced into a place of a harasser, as he is compelled by his colleagues to carry on against attributed oppressive names of "Pansy, weakling and faggot" (unique italics, p. 174). Because of the enthusiastic injury welcomed on by the school menaces, Marl neglects to get back to class and is immediately removed from school by his exceptional dad. Brought into the world to a lionhead

RESEARCH ARTICLE

and a fish (mermaid), the hero storyteller fills in as the actual exemplification of Singapore's public symbol of the Merlion—here, it fills in as the essential driver of his disgrace rather than festivity. The story is partitioned into two equal running accounts: Marl and the storyteller's episode in school as youngsters and after fourteen years in a bar, and a story about the lionhead as a hirsute mariner allured by a mermaid. Father lionhead is deserted by mother Siren, and in this way the previous raises their child Marl as a solitary parent. As the story's decision proposes, lionhead's prostrate position while "secured by the two wrists" (p. 186) as he lays "horrendously flimsy" on an emergency clinic bed epitomizes his debased state by "analytic classes" (Clare 2003, p. 154) both pointless and reductive. For delivering a "faggot" of a child, he is delivered distraught. Normal to father and child is, along these lines, the experience of being violated and disgraced.

Then again, the story *The Ballad of Arlene and Nelly* highlights school female darlings who head out in a different direction when one deceives the other to satisfy the social assumptions for a hetero marriage. Per users find out as much with regards to Arlene, the abandoned lesbian accomplice, as they do Nelly—a figure showing a "bogus self framework," to acquire Robert Laing's phrasing. In this story, the storyteller proposes that the hidden explanation Nelly suggests that her relationship with Arlene would end whenever school was done (p. 191) is because of the previous being "urgently consistent to the desire of others" (Laing 1965, p. 96), while the last option was neither willing nor prepared to do as such. At the end of the day, Nelly shows herself to disguise philosophical state upsides of Chinese greater part Singapore, with the two arrangements of guardians being "Chinese-instructed" and lecturing heterosexuality for the organic multiplication of young men (pp. 198–99). In the sex separated space of the washroom, Nelly reacts to Arlene's inquiry regarding adoring her husband to be with an unconvincing "Yes!" (unique italics, p. 193), which is phony and constrained in view of the absence of joy contrasted and Arlene's friendship (p. 200). In resulting days of her hetero marriage, Nelly can't pardon her deceiving spouse and leaves their "serene" (p. 201) marriage. Differentiated to Nelly's hyper-cognizant feeling of her existence, Arlene exhibits an encounter of self by which the genuine self is coordinated with her outer conduct. In this body-self build, Arlene's personality is communicated through her sexual constancy and particular love for Nelly, which, thusly, uncover a weakness set apart against Singapore's

predominant norms of hetero-normativity. Her commitment to remain faithful to Nelly is depicted in the monosyllabic expression of "No" (pp. 195–96), as her firm answer to inquiries of whether she physically deceived Nelly and engaged any sweethearts, including men, since they isolated ways. Besides, state mediation through approved mental assistance is recommended in the composition of Q & A that structures Arlene and Nelly's own accounts. Such an outlining gadget reflects the arrangement of psychodynamic treatment meetings that are described by an advisor's testing contributions. For example, an inquiry is presented "How since quite a while ago did it take Arlene's condition to fall apart?" (unique italics, p. 197). The storyteller's response "It required seven months before it became difficult to stow away" (p. 197) accepts the voice of Nelly, who is currently being "dealt with" as she relates the extended period Arlene hid her "disorder" from family and clinical staff. Utilizing the focal point of a mental assessment, the meaning of her reaction lies in the need of stowing away, which is inseparably interwoven with the nuisance of showing up—an endurance procedure for making a deception of ordinariness out in the open. Arlene's disease is promptly presented in the inquiry "For what reason didn't Arlene go to the specialist when the knot initially showed up?" (unique italics, p. 188) From the beginning of the story, the presence of sketchy sickness epitomized in her knot involves an emblematic disturbance to the great social request. All in all, the actual indication of affliction positions Arlene as an undesirable subject in the public arena, unwanted for her obsessive constitution that requires the spontaneous intercession by an outsider, including the state and family with their resort to clinical officials. Besides, it presents a horrifying situation between the decision for a pretended (bogus and conventionalist) character, from one viewpoint, and an intuitive articulation of a credible (non-traditionalist) self, then again. As a similitude, the protuberance subsequently flags Arlene's odd status as a gay.

The presence of clinical experts in both the specialist and therapist, spontaneous by the patient, features the medicalization of the mind in the midst of socio-social impacts that all things considered produce a disguised sexuality, which the bump prefigures. Likewise, the inevitable appearance of this cancer "on the left" (p. 188) bosom recommends, at a psychosomatic level, that the cerebrum's left side is all the while harmed by an unfamiliar assaulting growth: "Leftwards [. . .] was a

RESEARCH ARTICLE

curse" (p. 188). Arlene's tension with regards to the left side shows her rebuffing of her decaying left-side mind related with rationale and reason, which are attributes esteemed in realistic Singapore, differentiated to feelings and instinctual sentiments constrained by the right half of the cerebrum's cortex. To put it plainly, the intimation that Arlene loses or harms her feeling of realism that would empower her to pick an ordinary hetero life legitimizes society's pathologization of her, with her left-situating heart as the storage facility of adoration at the same time obtruded by clinical experts, state and society. Considering Freudian investigation of progress as oppressive with "a contention between the requests of congruity and the requests of our natural energies, expressly sexual" (Laing 1965), Koe's story "Alice, You Must be the Fulcrum of Your Own Universe," about a sexual issue between a young lady and a wedded more established lady with her rebellious mantra "live for yourself" (unique italics, p. 114), passes on the battle among faked and true selves. In the initial scene, a public presentation of gay love is depicted. Here, the actual demonstration of non-repressed gay energies is motioned through the female heroes kissing in a container of the Singapore Flyer. Like the two darlings in "The Ballad of Arlene and Nelly," Alice and Jenny represent the difficulties of opposing the institutional decrease of their sexuality to a sort of ailment—both on clinical and social grounds—because of their offensive sexual longings. Alice, the storyteller, shows herself to embrace the authoritative focal point while proclaiming "we don't have a plastic sack on us" (p. 111) with which to hide their kissing from according to general society. Nonetheless, the two female darlings seem unhindered by the public receptiveness wherein they are communicating their adoration inside the glass-windowed container of a public milestone—the Singapore "Eye" Flyer. Where Jenny confesses to having last been enthusiastically kissed at eighteen years old, Alice brings out the presence of an emotional wellness specialist: "Do you want a specialist?" (p. 111). Her reaction focuses to Jenny's (homo)sexual energies that have been stifled because of her hetero marriage, in this manner bringing about a need to reexamine her sexual joys as a tradeoff for social similarity. The additional implication to R. K. Narayan's "Under the Banyan Tree" ["The last time I was kissed for that long, I was eighteen, under a banyan tree" (unique italics, p. 111)] emphasizes her dread of standing up as it reviews the quiet Nambi of Somal. Here, the presence of dread indicates the specialist strain to adjust to a blue-penciled or hushed status of sexual subjectivity. In

her personal affirmation of, "I'm apprehensive about parting with myself, since I don't have a clue what I am yet" (p. 114), Alice portrays her tension with regards to her clear cut being set up by means of prescriptive naming and her generalized status.

Conclusion:

To summarize, the misfortunes experienced by degenerate Singaporean subjects are plainly outlined utilizing the decision class' philosophy of regularizing values, prompting social disgrace against non-hetero figures and the social decrease of typified "ill[ness] with sadness" (Burton 2015a, p. 107) inside friendly outsiders considered as "disappointments" or "failures" (Burton 2015a, p. 106). While, for Althusser, social contraption might be subsumed under philosophical state devices (Althusser 2014, p. 248), Ministry of Moral Panic fills in as a scholarly stage to uncover and support social contrasts from the state's social homogeneity, set apart in the state's directs of ability to control the country's kin and sexual practices. Ronald Laing and other enemy of psychiatry advocates underline the act of psychiatry as "severe, coercive, political rather than mental in that it is actually a method for controlling individuals and putting the people who make irritations of themselves far removed or obliterating their ability to be their own unpleasant selves" (Friedenberg 1973, p. 44). Such pundits offer evaluates of the frantic name credited to discouraged and gay subjects by attracting on the state's speculation clinical psychiatry, hence invigorating a contention about the default to psychiatry "to control the mischievous" (Szasz 1961). Counter-reactions to their evaluates have included charges against enemies of therapists of traditional libertarianism, where left-liberal moderate examiners "believ[ing] that they [are] treating genuine infections, [and] never voic[ing] protests against mental compulsions" (Schaler 2004, p. 23) are subverted. In the midst of continuous discussions on the state, family and clinical organizations as partners in the mental analysis, Koe's work places itself as social device by articulating human assortment in the experiencing brought about by cultural designs that mediate into the existences of resident subjects. As organized pariahs, her characters are casualties to state eradication, where their feeling of subjectivity is lessened. Be that as it may, in violating the philosophy of the decision class, they show abstract office by means of the resumption of intellectual capacities limited by medicalization. Thusly, Ministry of Moral Panic offers a

RESEARCH ARTICLE

study of state-credited despondency and sexual citizenship, as it highlights abstract additions inside the individual accounts of Singaporeans, whose tales about their implied frenzy gain unequivocal reverberation with their pursuers.

References

- Althusser, Louis. 2014. *On the Reproduction of Capitalism: Ideology and Ideological State Apparatuses*. London and New York: Verso.
- Arnold, Matthew. 1961. "Equality", *Poetry and Criticism or Matthew Arnold*. Edited by A. Dwight Culler. Boston: Houghton and Mirrin Company.
- Au, Alex. 2009. Prime Minister's Speech. Global Rights Commonwealth. Stage 1. Appendix 3. Available online: <https://alexau.wordpress.com/2009/10/03/global-rightscommonwealth-stage-1-appendix-3/> (accessed on 8 January 2019).
- Brooks, Ann, and Lionel Wee. 2011. *Regulating Sexual Citizenship in Singapore*. Available online: <https://www.opendemocracy.net/ann-brooks-lionel-wee/regulating-sexual-citizenship-in-singapore> (accessed on 8 January 2019).
- Burton, Neel. 2015a. *The Meaning of Madness*. Sandford-on-Thames: Acheron Press.
- Burton, Neel. 2015b. When Homosexuality Stopped Being a Mental Disorder. *Psychology Today*. Available online: <https://www.psychologytoday.com/intl/blog/hide-and-seek/201509/when-homosexuality-stopped-being-mental-disorder> (accessed on 8 January 2019).
- Chan, Kenneth. 2008. Gay Sexuality in Singaporean Chinese Popular Culture: Where Have All the Boys Gone? *China Information* 22: 305–29. [CrossRef]
- Chew, Agnes. 2018. Singapore: A Prosperous, Equal and Happy Society? *Singapore Policy Journal*. October 8. Available online: <http://spj.hkspublications.org/2018/10/08/singapore-a-prosperous-equal-and-happy-society/> (accessed on 8 January 2019).
- Clare, Anthony. 2003. *Psychiatry in Dissent: Controversial Issues in Thought and Practice*. London: Routledge.
- Cooper, David. 1989. Introduction. In Foucault: *Madness and Civilization: A History of Insanity in the Age of Reason*. Translated by Richard Howard. London and New York: Routledge.
- Dammann, Eric J. 1997. The Myth of Mental Illness: Continuing Controversies and Their Implications for Mental Health Professionals. *Clinical Psychology Review* 17: 733–56. [CrossRef]
- Detenber, Benjamin H., Shirley S. Ho, Rachel L. Neo, Shelly Malik, and Mark Cenite. 2012. Influence of Value Predispositions, Interpersonal Contact, and Mediated Exposure on Public Attitudes towards Homosexuals in Singapore. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology* 16: 18–96. [CrossRef]
- Detenber, Benjamin H, Mark Cenite, Shuhua Zhou, Shelly Malik, and Rachel L. Neo. 2014. Rights versus Morality: Online Debate about Decriminalization of Gay Sex in Singapore. *Journal of Homosexuality* 61: 1313–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Foucault, Michel. 1978. *History of Sexuality. Volume I: An Introduction*. Translated by Robert Hurley. New York: Pantheon Books.
- Foucault, Michel. 1982. *The Subject and Power*. In *Power: Essential Works of Foucault 1954–1984*. Translated by Robert Hurley. New York: The New Press, vol. 3.
- Friedenberg, Frank Kermode Edgar Z. 1973. *Laing. Fontana Modern Masters*. Edited by Frank Kermode. London: Fontana/Collins.
- Gwee, Kenji. 2017. Psychology and psychiatry in Singapore courts: A baseline survey of the mental health landscape in the legal arena. *International of Law and Psychiatry* 52: 44–54. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Heng, Geraldine, and Janadas Devan. 1995. *State Fatherhood: The Politics of Nationalism, Sexuality and Race in Singapore*. In *Bewitching Women, Pious Men: Gender and Body Politics in Southeast Asia*. Edited by Aihwa Ong and Michael G. Peletz. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Koe, Amanda Lee. 2013. *Ministry of Moral Panic*. Singapore: Epigram Books.
- Koe, Amanda Lee. 2016. Interview. *From British Council Singapore Arts. Poets and Writers*. Available online: https://www.pw.org/content/amanda_lee_koe (accessed on 8 January 2019).
- Kuah, Khun Eng. 2018. *Social Cultural Engineering and the Singaporean State*. Singapore: Springer.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

- Laing, Ronald David. 1964. Is Schizophrenia a Disease? *International Journal of Social Psychiatry* 10: 184–93. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Laing, Ronald David. 1965. *The Divided Self: An Existential Study in Sanity and Madness*. London: Penguin.
- Lee, Hsien Loong. 2007. Full Parliamentary Speech of PM Lee Hsien Loong in 2007 on Section 377A. *The Straits Times*. October 24. Available online: <https://www.straitstimes.com/politics/full-parliamentary-speech-by-pm-lee-hsien-loong-in-2007-on-section-377a> (accessed on 15 March 2019).
- Lemma, Alessandra. 1996. *Introduction to Psychopathology*. London: Sage Publishing.
- Leong Chan-Hoong, Patrick Rueppel, and Daniel Hong. 2014. Managing Immigration and Integration in Singapore. In *Migration and Integration: Common Challenges and Responses from Europe and Asia*. Edited by Wilhelm Hofmeister, Patrick Rueppel, Yves Pascouau and Andrea Frontini. Singapore: Konrad-Adenauer Stiftung.
- Lim, Kean Fan. 2004. Where Love Dares (Not) Speak Its Name: The Expression of Homosexuality in Singapore. *Urban Studies* 41: 1759–88. [CrossRef]
- Lim, Eng-Beng. 2014. *Brown Boys and Rice Queens: Spellbinding Performance in the Asias*. New York: New York University Press.
- Low, Patrick Kim Cheng. 2006. Father leadership: The Singapore Case Study. *Management Decision* 44: 89–104. [CrossRef]
- Maisel, Eric R. 2016. Interview with Joanna Moncrieff on the Myth of the Chemical Cure: On the Future of Mental Health. *Psychology Today*. The Future of Mental Health: Interview Series. Available online: <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/rethinking-mental-health/201602/joanna-moncrieff-the-myth-the-chemical-cure> (accessed on 8 January 2019).
- Mills, Charles Wright. 1963. *The Cultural Apparatus. In Power, Politics, and People: The Collected Essay of C. Wright Mills*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Ministry of Manpower, Singapore. 2019. Foreign Workforce Numbers. March 14. Available online: <https://www.mom.gov.sg/documents-and-publications/foreign-workforce-numbers> (accessed on 8 January 2019).
- Mokhtar, Faris. 2018. The Big Read: With a house still divided over 377A, time to seek common ground. *Channel News Asia*. September 18. Available online: <https://www.todayonline.com/big-read/big-read-house-still-divided-over-377a-time-seek-common-ground> (accessed on 15 March 2019).
- Moncrieff, Joanna. 2013. Lecture at the Centre for Global Humanities. Available online: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KBPPtyb4TvA> (accessed on 8 January 2019).
- Muliyala, Krishna Prasad, and Mathew Varghese. 2010. The Complex Relationship between Depression and Dementia. *Annals of Indian Academy of Neurology* 13: S69–73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nair, Hri Kumar. 2007. Section 377A is inconsistent: PAP MP Hri Kumar. *The Online Citizen*. October 23. Available online: <https://www.theonlinecitizen.com/2007/10/23/section-377a-is-inconsistent-pap-mp-hri-kumar/> (accessed on 8 January 2019).
- Naruse, Cheryl Narumi, and Weihsin Gui. 2016. “Singapore and the Intersections of Neoliberal Globalization and Postcoloniality”. *Interventions: International Journal of Postcolonial Studies* 18: 473–82. [CrossRef]
- Ong, Andrea. 2013. Opposition Politician Wijesingha is First Politician to Say He’s Gay. *The Straits Times*, July 3.
- Ong, Justin. 2018. Singapore Society has to Decide which Direction It Wants to Take on Laws Against Gay Sex: Shanmugam. *Channel News Asia*. September 7. Available online: <https://www.channelnewsasia.com/news/singapore/singapore-gay-sex-law-377a-shanmugam-society-has-to-decide-10693926> (accessed on 8 January 2019).
- Oswin, Natalie. 2014. Queer Time in Global City Singapore: Neoliberal Futures and the ‘Freedom to Love’. *Sexualities* 17: 412–33. [CrossRef]