

**Glimpses of Neoliberal Ideology
In George R R Martin's 'Game of Thrones'**

Mr. Bhagvat Ramnath Dhesale¹, Research Student, MES's ACS College, Sonai, Tal.-
Newasa, Dist. Ahmednagar. Mob. No. 9834292207, Email id:- bhagvat.dhesale007@gmail.com

Dr. Umesh Dattatray Kamble², Professor & Research Guide, MES's ACS College, Sonai,
Tal. - Newasa, Dist. Ahmednagar Mob. No. 8975534637, Email Id:- pbtumesh@gmail.com

Article Received: 26/09/2023

Article Revised: 27/10/2023

Article Accepted: 30/10/2023

Published Online: 02/11/2023

DOI: 10.47311/IJOES.2023.5.10.99

Abstract

The research paper is based on one of the most viewed television programs, 'Game of Thrones,' which examines to what extent 'Game of Thrones' informs or resists Neoliberalism. The proposed research framework tries to confirm elements of mainstream pop culture and the promotion of the ideology. It also determines how narrative-driven television is utilized to challenge established ideologies and determine the messaging that Game of Thrones vast audience could embrace. The slightly broadened topic undertakes a content analysis to investigate the hidden content of the show's plot. It focuses on the series' discussions of power, wealth, and class, not on all the ways Neoliberalism can be present. This essay concludes that while Game of Thrones can critique Neoliberalism, it cannot offer an alternative to the society it critiques. In many ways, the conclusion of Game of Thrones, which proposes a counterargument against Neoliberalism, runs counter to the very objections it raised. From here, it is determined that even though the Game of Thrones story does not match the neoliberal discourse pattern, its audience appeal is diminished by how the show ends.

Keywords: Narrative, Game of Thrones, Neoliberalism, comparison, Ideology, Society, etc.

In the year 2011, Game of Thrones was the most-watched television series. Without counting the millions more that viewed the show illegally, Game of Thrones might bring 10 million viewers to HBO alone throughout its eight seasons (Watson, 2019). The conflict for the "Iron Throne" and the civil war in a medieval nation are the subjects of the television series. This series, which has dragons, magic, politics, love, and violence rapidly won the hearts of millions of people. The story being revealed beneath the surface is more attractive to this paper. I wish to

investigate the ideological foundations of the Game of Thrones story, considering Hall's (2016) writings. Neoliberalism shall be referred to as the dominant ideology for the sake of this essay. Neoliberalism has hurt our political, economic, and cultural institutions as a social justice student. I am therefore interested in how neoliberal ideology is supported and promoted by mainstream popular culture. I am only willing to subject Game of Thrones to such categories after thoroughly examining its story because I am curious about the potential for mainstream popular culture to challenge the prevailing orthodoxy. Neoliberalism has a direct impact on power, money, and class. Thus, I will concentrate on how it relates to these topics in this essay. Although Neoliberalism covers a lot more than these three areas, for this essay, I will only be concentrating on these. The following is the subject of my research question: How may the Game of Thrones story, as a component of mainstream popular culture, combat prevailing notions about power, wealth, and class?

Other aspects of this series cannot be discussed because the narrative is the main subject of this essay. Visuals, for instance, would have helped address this research question, but due to the scale of this project, they will not be included in this analysis. This study believes the story will suffice because it ultimately points to ideology (Toolan, 2001). Conversations between characters, which characters succeed and fail, which characters survive and die, and which character will win "Game of Thrones" will show the ideology it promotes, more particularly, how the story justifies these characters' outcomes. For instance, if a character suffers, does the story criticize their laziness and say they are solely to blame for their shortcomings? The story likely promotes neoliberal ideas. However, if the story focuses on the societal or economic barriers to this character's success, it might be more resistant to such an ideology. This research question will be resolved based on how the narrative approaches the themes of power, wealth, and class via the prism of Neoliberalism.

Similar to that, this study will undertake a content analysis and, as a result, speak about latent content. As this series is set in a medieval fantasy world, it will not directly address Neoliberalism; instead, this analysis aims to show how it does so subtly. More specifically, it will make analogies between the discussions of class, wealth, and power in this series similar discussions in our day. This study will use debates on neoliberal discourse and criticism to assess how much Game of Thrones defies Neoliberalism. From there, this essay will go over how the Game of Thrones storyline may help or hinder the fight for social justice.

METHODOLOGY: -

How may the Game of Thrones story, as a component of mainstream popular culture, challenge prevailing ideas about class, wealth, and power? My objective is to determine whether or not Neoliberalism is reflected in the series' overall narrative. I analyze the major narrative components that touch on issues of power and class/wealth. I conduct a content study of the series' statements and particular narrative moments to evaluate their applicability to the issues of power, wealth, and class. I review each episode of Game of Thrones in order to do this.

If the television show can show how its story can be utilized to advance social justice, then others will be able to do the same with their own stories. On the other hand, should it be found

that Game of Thrones promotes neoliberal ideology and replicates it, it is equally crucial

to research the effects of the show's messaging on its viewers, especially for a show with such a large audience as Game of Thrones.

I use content analysis since it is the best approach to answer my research question. According to Krippendorff (2004), content analysis determines the meaning and likely outcomes of a transmitted material (such as a book or film). (p. xvii) In this analysis of Game of Thrones, we will determine if the program pushes narratives that support neoliberal ideology or oppose it. This research aims to uncover the narrative's meaning and the philosophy behind it by employing a method guided by content analysis. This essay examines the underlying presumptions of the narrative (the latent content), the potential ways in which it might speak to an audience beyond the present circumstance, or, more specifically, whether the narrative supports or resists Neoliberalism through underlying meaning to an audience beyond the present circumstance.

In order to categorize quotes and narrative events according to their assigned topics of power or wealth/class, I evaluate the quote's connection to Neoliberalism when I first allocate it to a topic. It could be required to choose which quotes are more critical than the others depending on how many quotes are gathered. I undertake a detailed analysis using the literature that has been discussed so far in this paper based on the initial assessment. Together, these examinations of narrative passages and citations will enable me to determine whether Game of Thrones is resilient.

According to my research, pop culture narratives can act as vehicles for ideologies. According to Stuart Hall (2016), the dominant system does not automatically provide the conditions necessary for people to create new political subjectivities and subjective possibilities for themselves. They are obtained through the articulation techniques that result in them (p. 205). The prevailing system will not allow new subjectivities or viewpoints to be accessible to the general audience. This study can investigate what is being said beneath the narrative's surface using content analysis and draw conclusions about which ideology is at work.

ANALYSIS:-

Having power is a myth-

As a civil war for the monarchy breaks out across the nation, the power issue continues. Most pretenders to the throne behave similarly to Robert, threatening to "bend the knee or I will destroy them" (Benioff et al., 2011-2019, s02e01). Most candidates are more concerned with serving their interests than those of the people they hope to rule. They do awful things, like assassinating kids who could challenge their authority or burn their opponents alive. They do this because they think that "Ruling is like lying on a bed of weeds and ripping them out one by one before they suffocate you in your sleep" (s02e02). However, as previously mentioned and implied by the narrative, "I am no king, but I think there is more to rule than that," The following quotation from the tale emphasizes this idea even more:

Does it? He has neither crown, nor gold, nor favor with the gods. He has a sword. However, if swordsmen rule, why do we pretend kings hold all the power? When Ned Stark lost his head, who was truly responsible? Power resides where men believe it resides. It is a trick, a shadow on the wall. Moreover, a tiny man can cast a huge shadow. (Benioff, D.B. Weiss, 2011-2019, S02E03)

This quotation questions the fundamental nature of authority in this series. It stresses that power is an illusion rather than rejecting the idea that kings or even the affluent wield it. A person's belief affects that power. As a result, it is a myth that the king must be oppressive or to be feared. The notion that wealth and power are equivalent is also untrue.

Kingship or wealth only had an impact because of people's faith in them. As a result, when others have faith in them, they might investigate alternate aspects of power.

There is a prevalent idea known as "capitalist realism" in neoliberal discourse that holds that not only is capitalism the only functional political and economic system, but also that it is currently hard to even conceive of a cogent alternative (Fisher, 2009, p. 2). Those who embrace neoliberal and capitalist discourses might accept the "bumps" in the system while simultaneously ignoring them by claiming that there are no alternative options, which is known as "capitalist realism." Game of Thrones tries to dispel the notions that their system is standard, that kings have always been cruel, and that the affluent have exploited their position of power. By doing so, it also calls into question their society's entire system of authority. People believe that the way the world of Game of Thrones is shaped is the only possible way for it to be shaped. As Fisher notes, "Capitalist realism offers itself as a shield sheltering us from the hazards posed by belief itself," the audience might here negotiate the series' narrative surrounding the illusion of power (p. 5). The notion that there can be an alternative is what it means to oppose the neoliberal narrative surrounding "capitalist realism." Game of Thrones asserts that power only exists in people's minds, is a ruse, and that anybody may become powerful.

The System of Rule-

Some compare the system to a ladder where they compete to reach the top. Whether those at the top behave cruelly or kindly, selfishly or selflessly, it was never intended that they do so; what matters is the ascent. Securing power and clinging to it for as long as possible is all that matters. The investigation of the various characteristics of kings in the series has shown that those who can perform harsh deeds, own enormous riches, and operate in their self-interest have an easier time climbing the ladder. It is also evident that whoever ascends to the top of this ladder will only remain there for a short time. Tywin Lannister, who reached the top of the ladder, dropped from it after just one season. The show then starts to doubt this "ladder." What purpose does it all serve? How many lives were lost so that one person could remain in power for a short period?

How long would Rob Stark have remained at the top of the ladder before being knocked down, for instance? Would his brief presence there have allowed him to have an impact? The query posed in this quotation is as follows:

I know. However, still, it filled me with dread. In my dreams, I stood on a beach made of beetle husks stretching as far as the eye could see. I woke up crying for their shattered little bodies. He just pushed me aside with a "cuhn." Every day until, that mule kicked him and killed him. So, what do you think? Why did he do it? What was it all about? (S04E08)

The aim of this arrangement is what Game of Thrones starts to ponder. What good is it if the system constantly promotes rivalry among people, and this competition causes the pain and death of millions so that one person can hold power for a split second before someone else snatches it away from them? What purpose does the peasant class serve in serving the ruling class when all the ruling class is concerned with is gaining and maintaining power? Mainly when the ruling class engages in "the climb" competition while the peasant class suffers the most.

Competition is the central theme of Neoliberalism's discourse. Instead, the neoliberal state aims to reconstruct society around the competitiveness ethos and the competition principle, as Davies (2016) describes (p. xvi). This fits the definition of a meritocracy. According to Davies (2016), the majority of people are classified as "losers" under this system, meaning that their inability to make the "climb" is due to their lack of talent or effort rather than the unfair system they are required to compete in. This is something that Game of Thrones begins to address. This reasoning has been shown in numerous ways through class discussions, but the fault has been placed on poor leadership rather than ideology.

Conclusion

How may the Game of Thrones story, as a component of mainstream popular culture, combat prevailing beliefs about class and power? That was my initial query. I have concluded that Game of Thrones is resistive but needs to provide a viable alternative to the system it criticizes after looking into the narrative's interactions with discourses on power, wealth, and class. There are two distinct sorts of opposition to hegemonic ideology, according to Gramsci (1999): negative and positive. Game of Thrones offered its viewers the chance to bargain or engage in harmful kinds of resistance, as was demonstrated in the analysis of this paper.

While discussing power, Game of Thrones makes it abundantly evident that its citizens are not all given equal access to it. Neoliberal language would indicate that economies will expand without government intervention, resulting in human progress (Harvey, 2005). Neoliberalism supports the idea that people are equal because of democracy. Although a monarchy is shown in Game of Thrones, democracies in the actual world can be compared. "Actual existent constitutional democracies privilege the wealthiest," as Dean (2009) put it. The poor are excluded, exploited, and oppressed as neoliberal capitalism is installed, expanded, and protected, despite claims to the contrary (p. 76). Here, the audience can discuss or contrast how the monarchy in Game of Thrones, or the "wheel," primarily serves the interests of the ruling elite.

Furthermore, it was shown that in this series, affluent people motivated by self-interest hold the power rather than Kings and Queens. Wars erupt, and kings and queens are replaced when they displease the mighty lords. I think Game of Thrones gave its audience a chance to negotiate or mount a resistance to such discourses by highlighting how a privileged minority holds power through debates of neoliberal discourse on power and their accompanying criticism.

References:

- Martin, G. R. R. (2011). *A Game of Thrones*. New York, Bantam Books
- Martin, G. R. R. (2000). *A Storm of Swords*. New York, NY, Bantam Books. *The World of Ice & Fire, Ancient History: The Long Night*
- Martin, G. R. R. (2000). *A Clash of Kings*. New York, Bantam Books. Martin, G. R. R. (2000). *A Storm of Swords, Chapter 63, Davos VI*
- Martin, G. R. R. (2011). *A feast for crows*.
- Chau, J., & Vanderwees, C. (2019). Introduction—High fantasy, political dreams, and the mainstream: Reflections on Game of Thrones. *Canadian Review of American Studies*, 49(1), 1–6.
- Chomsky, N. (2012). *Occupy*. New York, NY: Zuccotti Park Press. Davies, W. (2016). *The limits of Neoliberalism: Authority, sovereignty and the logic of competition*. Los Angeles, CA: Sage
- Dean, J. (2009). *Democracy and other neoliberal fantasies: Communicative capitalism and left politics*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Dey, A., & Mondal, I. (2018). Reading HBO's Game of Thrones: A politico-historical allegory of climate change: *Lyceum E-journal of Dept. of English*, 1(1), 59–84. Retrieved from http://lyceumjournal.com/Upload/Files/Lyceum e-journal Volume I Issue 1 Feb 2018_New.pdf
- Duménil, G., & Lévy, D. (2013). *Crisis of neoliberalism*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Dorling, D. (2014). *Inequality and the 1%*. London, UK: Verso.
- Fisher, M. (2009). *Capitalist realism: Is there no alternative?* Winchester, UK: Zero Books.
- Gautney, H. (2018). *Crashing the party: From the Bernie Sanders campaign to a progressive movement*. London, UK: Verso.
- Gitlin, T. (1979). Prime time ideology: The hegemonic process in television entertainment. *Social Problems*, 26(3), 251–266